





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/779,183	1	02/08/2001	Eric Ellington	OAA-145-A	6456
21828	7590	07/12/2002			
CARRIER I	BLACKI	MAN AND ASSO	EXAM	EXAMINER	
24101 NOVI ROAD SUITE 100				FISCHMANN, BRYAN R	
NOVI, MI	NOVI, MI 48375			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3618	
				DATE MAILED: 07/12/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

X

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/779,183

Applicant(s)

yaminer

r Art Unit
Bryan Fischmann 3

3618

ELLINGTON

44444

	of the state of th
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears o	n the cover sneet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no e	vent, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statistic of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and with Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the apply and the period property received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this caused patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. plication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on	02
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action	n is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance exclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex part	ept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is e Quayle35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-20</u>	is/are pending in the applica
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from considera
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 🗶 Claim(s) <u>1-20</u>	is/are rejected.
	is/are objected to.
	are subject to restriction and/or election requirem
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) X The drawing(s) filed on Feb 8, 2001 is/are	e a∏ accepted or b)∏ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing	
11) X The proposed drawing correction filed on Feb 27	<u>r, 2002</u> is: a⊠ approved b) □disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this	s Office action.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) 🗓 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priori	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐None of:	
 Certified copies of the priority documents have be 	
	een received in Application No.
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority docu application from the International Bureau (*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified in the certified copies of the priority documents. 	PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic price	
a)☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional a	
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic price	
Attachment(s)	
1) XNotice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/779,183

Art Unit: 3618

Acknowledgments

1. The Amendment (paper 8) filed 6-12-2002 has been entered.

Request for Continued Examination

2. The request filed on 6-12-2002 (paper 7) for a Request for Continuing Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR1.114 based on parent Application No. 09/779,183 is acceptable and an RCE has been established. An action on the RCE follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as failing to set forth the subject matter which applicants regard as their invention.
- A) Claim 1 recites "...the connecting member/mechanism retains the slide board and step board in a fixed substantially parallel and spaced relationship during use of the snowboard".

It is initially noted that this recitation is inconsistent with the specification (line 25 of sheet 5 to line 1 of sheet 6) which recites "...connecting tubular members...retain the slide board...and step board...in a fixed parallel relationship...". The specification fails to include the word "substantially".

Application/Control Number: 09/779,183 Page 3

Art Unit: 3618

It is however further noted that the upper and lower boards are basically cantilever beams loaded with a fairly substantial load when in use. It is believed that there is some "substantial" deflection between the boards when in use, such that term "substantially parallel" is not believed appropriate, as it is understood from this recitation that Applicant is claiming that the boards undergo little, or no deflection when in use. As an example, if the boards were made of a high strength steel, the term "parallel", or "substantially parallel" would seem appropriate. If the boards were made of wood, the terms "substantially parallel" would not seem appropriate, as the ends of the boards would undergo "substantial" deflection. It is understood that snowboard and skis are generally made of flexible material and that the lower (slide) and upper (step) board will tend to deflect toward each other during use.

Therefore, it is considered unclear whether Applicant is claiming the entire length and width of the boards remain parallel, or the boards remain parallel only near the connecting members.

Perhaps a more correct wording would be "substantially parallel relationship in the immediate vicinity of the connecting members".

- B) Note that claim 9 contains an identical recitation.
- C) Note also that the claim 17 recitation "...the slide and step boards are connected via the connecting member so as to substantially prohibit relative pivoting between the boards", is considered unclear as to what is meant by "pivoting". Since the boards are believed to deflect

Application/Control Number: 09/779,183 Page 4

Art Unit: 3618

towards each other towards the ends of the board during use, they may be broadly interpreted to be "pivoting" about the connecting members.

D) See claim 18 for a similar recitation.

For purposes of this Office Action, it will be assumed that the boards remain "substantially parallel" only in the vicinity of the connecting members and that the boards deflect toward each other, toward the ends.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 6. Claims 1-20, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Barbieri, et al, US Patent Application Publication 2002/0070515.

Barbieri teaches a snowboard for sliding over snow, comprising:

an elongated slide board having a slide surface on a lower surface thereof (Figure 1); and an elongated step board defining a deck on an upper surface thereof, and attached to an upper surface of the slide board in a substantially parallel and spaced relationship via a

Application/Control Number: 09/779,183

Art Unit: 3618

connecting member made of substantially non-compressible material (Figure 1 and paragraph 0024); and

the connecting member/mechanism retains the slide board and step board in a fixed, substantially parallel and spaced relationship during use of the snowboard (see Figure 3 and the 112 2nd portion of this Office Action).

Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

Regarding claims 4 and 5, see paragraph 0021.

Regarding claims 7 and 11, see paragraph 0024

7. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dodge, US Patent 4,221,394.

Dodge teaches a snowboard for sliding over snow, comprising:

an elongated slide board (10) having a slide surface on a lower surface thereof; and an elongated step board (34) defining a deck on an upper surface thereof; and a connecting mechanism (Figure 5) made of substantially non-compressible material

connecting the step board to an upper surface of the slide board so as to substantially prohibit relative pivoting between the boards during use of the boards.

Regarding the recitation of "a connecting mechanism made of substantially noncompressible material connecting the step board to an upper surface of the slide board", note that

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/779,183

Art Unit: 3618

Figure 5 shows the step and slide boards are "connected" by reference numbers 40, 44 and 54.

The cross-hatching on Figure 5 indicates that these parts are metal, which is "substantially non-

compressible".

Regarding the recitation of "substantially prohibit relative pivoting between the boards",

see the 112 2nd portion of this Office Action, noting that Applicant's boards, at the ends, may be

broadly considered to "pivot" about the connecting members, due to deflection of the ends when

in use.

Response to Applicant's Remarks (paper 8) and Examiner's Comments

8. The amendment (paper 8) particularly the additional recitation of "fixed substantially

parallel relationship" in claim 1, or similar wording in other claims, has overcome the Dykema

reference, as noted by Applicant, Figure 3 of Dykema shows the boards "pivoting" relative to

each other, which is not consistent with a connecting member made of "substantially non-

compressible" material.

9. The amendment (paper 8) particularly the additional recitation of "the connecting

member/mechanism retains the slide board and step board in a fixed...relationship", and similar

wording in other claims, has overcome the Dodge reference, since Figure 5 of Dykema, as noted

by Applicant, has a resilient member (42) which will allow limited relative movement between

the two boards, which is not consistent with the term "fixed".

Art Unit: 3618

10. During an update search, the Examiner discovered the "Barbieri" Patent Application
Publication, which just recently published and which was used as a grounds of rejection in this
Office Action. Due to the strong similarity between this publication and the Applicant's Instant
Invention, it is requested Applicant make the Examiner aware of any known connection between
this Publication and the Applicant that would be a factor in regards to Double Patenting

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Bryan Fischmann whose telephone number is (703) 306-5955. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 to 4:00.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Johnson, can be reached on (703) 308-0885. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

BF

07/01/02

Michael Mar MICHAEL MAR PRIMARY EXAMINER